2040

Total Synthesis of Swazinecic Acid Dilactone. Part 1. Synthesis of 2-Hydroxy-2-methyl-3,5-dimethylenehexanedioic Acid as an Intermediate

By C. Gordon Gordon-Gray • and Chris G. Whiteley, Department of Chemistry, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, Republic of South Africa

2-Hydroxy-2-methyl-3,5-dimethylenehexanedioic acid (8) was synthesised in two ways: (a) by condensation of diethyl malonate with ethyl 3-bromomethyl-2-ethoxycarbonyloxy-2-methylbut-3-enoate followed by hydrolysis and a Mannich reaction with dimethylamine-formaldehyde, and (b) by an organocopper coupling reaction with ethyl 3-bromomethyl-2-methylsilyloxybut-3-enoate and lithium α -ethoxycarbonylvinyl(hex-1-ynyl)-cuprate followed by hydrolysis.

ALL the adipic C_{10} necic acids exist as derivatives of hexane-2,5-dioic acid containing a five-carbon unit (1); ¹ of the acids of this group found locally, namely senecic (2), seneciphyllic (3), hygrophyllinecic (4), retronecic (5), swazinecic (6) ²⁻⁴ and sceleranecic (6a) dilactones, and

acid skeleton, the five-carbon unit (1) and a structure such that further synthesis and stereochemical control could be exercised in its elaboration into the optically pure swazinecic acid dilactone.

During the synthesis of seneciphyllic acid Edwards



isolinecic (7), only the last two are not $\alpha\beta$ -unsaturated acids.

In view of the great similarity amongst these C_{10} necic acids it seemed advantageous—despite the fact that syntheses for both senecic ⁵ and seneciphyllic ⁶ had been reported—to synthesise an intermediate which would serve as a foundation for the synthesis of all such acids. Our prime object, however, was swazinecic acid.

We now report the first part of this undertaking with the synthesis of 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3,5-dimethylenehexanedioic acid (8), which possesses the hexanedioic

¹ F. L. Warren, Fortschr. Chem. org. Naturstoffe, 1966, 24, 330. ² C. G. Gordon-Gray, R. B. Wells, N. Hallak, M. B. Hursthouse, S. Neidle, and T. P. Toube, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 707. ³ C. G. Gordon-Gray, R. B. Wells, N. Hallak, M. B. Hursthouse, S. Neidle, and T. P. Toube, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 2802.

⁴ C. G. Gordon-Gray and R. B. Wells, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1974, 1556.

et al.⁶ produced a hexanedioic acid intermediate (10) (Scheme 1) in 32% yield; however the low overall yields



obtained by them led us to examine a totally different route to (8).

The allylic hydroxy-ester derivative (11; R = H) (Scheme 2) was prepared in near quantitative yield by cleavage of the glycidic ester (12) with lithium per-⁵ C. C. J. Culvenor and T. A. Geissman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1961, **83**, 1647.

⁶ J. D. Edwards, T. Hase, and N. Ichikawa, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 1967, **4**, 467.

chlorate according to the method of Rickborn et al.⁷ The yields in the synthesis of the glycidic ester (12), achieved by the Darzens condensation.⁸ were increased (90%) when lithium di-isopropylamide in tetrahydrofuran (THF)⁹ replaced potassium t-butoxide in t-butyl alcohol.

Although the resolution of the ester (11) was crucial for a successful absolute synthesis of swazinecic acid eluant. It has been reported that allylic bromo-esters show tendencies not only to isomerise but also to lactonise on heating with the loss of an alkyl bromide.¹² The breakdown of (9; R = Et, R' = H) at ambient temperatures gave the lactone (16), which was more readily obtained by treating (9; R = Et, R' = H) with sodium hydroxide.

In the coupling reaction of (9) with diethyl malonate





dilactone, all attempts at such resolution were unsuccessful.¹⁰ Further, all salts of this acid with nitrogenous bases, even that with ammonia, melted over a wide range $(160-180^\circ)$, owing to decomposition near the m.p. with the production of β -methylangelica lactone (15) (Scheme 3) (cf. ref. 6). The energetically more



favoured $\alpha\beta$ -unsaturated compound (15) was no doubt formed by allylic rearrangement and lactonisation of the salt (14).¹¹

Allylic bromination of the ester (11; R = H) in bright sunlight gave >90% yields of crude product (cf.⁶ 46%) by using benzovl peroxide). Although Edwards et al.⁶ reported that the product (9; R = Me, R' = H) could be readily distilled (at 55 °C and 0.25 mmHg), in our hands a black viscous residue always resulted even at pressures down to 5×10^{-3} mmHg, and yields of pure (9; R = Et, R' = H) never exceeded 10%. However, this compound was adequately purified by passing it through a short silica gel column with benzene as 7 B. C. Hartman and B. Rickborn, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37,

943. ⁸ M. S. Newman and B. J. Magarlein, Org. Reactions, 1949, 5, 413. ⁹ R. F. Borch, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 3761.

¹⁰ F. M. Hauser, M. L. Coleman, R. C. Huffman, and F. I.

 Carrol, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 3426.
 ¹¹ R. H. de Wolfe and W. G. Young, Chem. Rev., 1956, 56, 753.
 ¹² A. Loffler, R. J. Pratt, H. P. Reusch, and A. S. Drieding, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1970, 53, 383.

to form the hexanedioic acid derivative (18) (Scheme 4). tetrahydrofuran or benzene was used as solvent in place of methanol 6 owing to the tendency of (9) to form the γ -ether (17) by solvolysis.

Because of the acidity of ethyl acetoacetate $(pK_a \ 10.2)$ Edwards⁶ found it unnecessary to protect the tertiary hydroxy-group in (9; R = Me, R' = H) before coupling. However, with the use of diethyl malonate $(pK_a \ 13.2)$, protection of the hydroxy-group was essential. Attempted O-substitution of such a tertiary hydroxy-group



$$\begin{array}{c} CO_2Et. \\ I \\ CH_2 \\ I \\ CO_2Et \end{array} \xrightarrow{N\alpha, THF} CO_2Et \\ CO_2E$$

SCHEME 4

with many protecting groups,¹³ including tetrahydropyranyl^{14,15} and methylthiomethyl,^{16,17} was unsuccessful. However both the O-ethoxycarbonyl $(75\%)^{18,19}$

¹³ J. F. W. McOmie, 'Protective Groups in Organic Chemistry,' Plenum Press, London, 1973.

- D. Robertson, J. Org. Chem., 1960, 25, 931.
 I. Elphimoff-Felkin, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1955, 784.
 K. Yamada, K. Kato, H. Nagase, and Y. Hirata, Tetrahedron Letters, 1976, 65.

¹⁷ E. J. Corey and M. G. Bock, Tetrahedron Letters, 1975, 3269. 18 L. F. Fieser and S. Rajagopalan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950,

72, 5530. ¹⁹ L. F. Fieser, J. E. Herz, M. W. Klohs, M. A. Romero, and T. Utne, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 3309.

and O-trimethylsilyl (85%) derivatives of (11) were prepared at 0 °C, by addition of ethyl chloroformate and trimethylsilyl chloride, respectively to (11; R = Na). The usual silvlation procedures ²⁰ with this silvl chloride or with dimethyl-t-butylsilyl chloride ²¹ in the presence, or absence, of tertiary amine hydrogen chloride acceptors, or with the more suitable reagent N-trimethylsilylacetamide 22 were, however, unsuccessful.

The desired coupled product (18; $R = CO_{2}Et$ (Scheme 4) was obtained, in 75% yield, by using the ester (9; R = Et, $R' = CO_2Et$) with sodiomalonate generated with sodium hydride in dry tetrahydrofuran. formation and reaction of α -ethoxycarbonylvinyl copper (20; R = Cu) was investigated.

One approach to the synthesis of (20; R = Cu) which we considered was the transformation of the lithio-derivative (20; R = Li) via metal-metal exchange with copper.³⁴ However all attempts to produce (20; R = Li), through reaction of ethyl α -bromoacrylate with butyl-lithium in the 'Trapp ' solution at -115 °C, analogous to that reported for an *a*-bromo-*ββ*-diphenylacrylate ³⁵ and an α -bromo- β -phenylacrylate,³⁶ failed and only polymerised adducts resulted.37

Klein and Levene³⁸ have reported that the action of



SCHEME 5

$$CH_{2} = C - R + (9) \longrightarrow CH_{2} = C - CH_{2} - C$$

Hydrolysis of (18) produced the triacid (19) which, after stirring with 1 equiv. of a Mannich reagent (prepared from aqueous formaldehyde, diethylamine, and a buffered acetic acid solution 23,24) gave the desired compound (8), m.p. 120-121°, in 70% yield (Scheme 5). However, the low overall yield of (8) based on the bromo-derivative (9; R = Et, $R' = CO_2Et$) (38%) led us to devise a second synthesis of (8).

The formation of carbon-carbon bonds by reactions of lithium ester enolates with halides was recently advocated by Rathke et al.25 and Cregge et al.26 However, since lithium enolates had greater reactivity towards carbonyl groups than organic halides,25,27 the enolate (20; R = Li) (Scheme 6) could not be used. By contrast the versatility of the reaction of organocopper reagents with organic halides has been mentioned on several occasions,28-31 and in view of their relatively low reactivity with carbonyl groups, especially esters 32,33 the

20 A. E. Pierce, 'Silylation of Organic Compounds,' Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, 1968.

²¹ E. J. Corey and A. Venkateswarki, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 6190.

22 L. Birkofer and A. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1965, **4**, 417. ²³ P. A. Grieco, Synthesis, 1975, **2**, 67. Watts and F. Johns

24 J. Martin, P. C. Watts, and F. Johnson, Chem. Comm., 1970,

 M. W. Rathke and A. Lindert, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 2318.

²⁶ R. J. Cregge, J. L. Herman, C. S. Lee, J. E. Richman, and R. H. Schlessiner, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 2425.

 M.W. Rathke, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 3222.
 E. J. Corey and G. H. Posner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 3911.

29 E. J. Corey and G. H. Posner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5615.

lithium dimethylcuprate on ethyl 2-bromocinnamate and ethyl 2-bromocrotonate at -80 °C, produced the corresponding *a*-cuprio-derivatives with retention of configuration (Scheme 7). Our attempts at repeating



this reaction with ethyl 2-bromoacrylate yielded only conjugate addition products (ethyl butyrate) in very low yield. Clearly substituents on the β -carbon atom play an important role, through either steric hindrance or charge delocalisation, in reactions of this nature.

The use of the bisethoxycarbonylvinylcuprate (22) proved impossible since its preparation would have involved the reaction of the unattainable ethoxycarbonylmethyl-lithium (20; R = Li) with copper(I) iodide. Furthermore the large excess of the cuprate

30 G. M. Whitesides, W. F. Fischer, jun., J. San Filippo, jun., R. W. Bashe, and H. O. House, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 4871.

³¹ E. J. Corey and I. Kuwajima, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 395.

³² G. H. Posner, C. E. Whitten, and P. E. McFarland, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 5106.

³³ S. S. Dua, A. E. Jukes, and H. Gilman, Organometallic Chem. Synd., 1970/71, **1**, 87

³⁴ I. Kuwajima and Y. Doi, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 1163.

³⁵ G. Köbrich, H. Trapp, and A. Akhtar, Chem. Ber., 1968, 101, 2644.

³⁶ H-L. Elbe and G. Kobrich, Tetrahedron Letters, 1974, 2557. 37 K. Matsuzaki, T. Uryu, and K. Ito, Makromol. Chem., 1969,

126, 292.

J. Klein and R. Levene, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 2520.

necessary 39 in coupling reactions resulted in this project being discontinued. However, Marino et al.40 have prepared lithium α -ethoxycarbonylvinyl(hex-l-ynyl)cuprate (23), in which it was presumed that the acetylenic ligand, with its π -electron cloud, was co-ordinated to the copper metal with a stronger affinity than the ethoxycarbonylvinyl group, and that it remained as the residual moiety while the latter was transferred during a coupling reaction.

Before describing the use of the reagent (23),

$$\begin{pmatrix} CH_2 = C - \\ I \\ CO_2Et \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CuLi & (BuC \equiv C -)(CH_2 = C -) \\ I \\ CO_2Et \\ (22) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CuLi & (BuC \equiv C -)(CH_2 = C -) \\ I \\ CO_2Et \\ (23) \end{pmatrix}$$

we note that the mechanisms involved during organocopper coupling have been discussed in the literature, 28, 30, 31, 38, 41-45 and offer some further possibilities. According to Marino et al.40 the preparation of (23) α -bromoacrylate resulting in the intermediate (26). The introduction of this (higher) negative species could, in effect, constitute a nucleophilic substitution at copper with expulsion of the methyl group, which could then react with the partial positive bromide in a concerted reaction to release methyl bromide (0.5 equiv.), the cuprate (23) (0.5 equiv.), and starting material (25) (0.5 equiv.). The liberated methyl bromide, being inert to (23),⁴⁰ would react with the more nucleophilic, but less tightly bound, methyl group of (25), producing hex-1-vnylcopper, ethane, and lithium bromide. The combined elimination of the bromine cation and the alkyl group along with the participation of six electrons in the concerted reaction would ensure retention of configuration.

The cuprate (23) was successfully synthesised and 0.4equiv. of (9; R = Et, $R' = SiMe_3$) were added to give the desired coupled product (21), which on hydrolysis yielded the diacid (8) in 75% yield.



involved the reaction of 0.5 equiv. of ethyl 2-bromoacrylate with the methylcuprate (25) (Scheme 8). To explain this we reason that, as with other oxidative addition-reductive elimination mechanisms, 46, 47 a d orbital of the copper metal in (25) co-ordinates with the π -electrons of the carbon-carbon double bond in the

- ³⁹ G. H. Posner, Org. Reactions, 1975, 22, 253.
- 40 J. P. Marino and D. M. Floyd, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 7138.
- ⁴¹ H. O. House and M. J. Umen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94,
- 5495. ⁴² R. W. Herr, D. M. Wieland, and C. R. Johnson, *J. Amer.* Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 3813.
- 43 J. K. Kochi and F. F. Rust, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 2017. 44 R. G. Pearson, Accounts Chem. Res., 1971, 4, 152.

 - 45 H. O. House, Accounts Chem. Res., 1976, 9, 59.

Initial problems of non-reproducibility were related to the loss of methyl-lithium $^{48-51}$ at -30 °C; indeed it was found, by titration at this temperature, that the concentration of the alkyl-lithium was reduced by one-third. Apart from a footnote by Marino et al.⁴⁰ who gave no details, nowhere had such a phenomenon been reported.

- 46 M. Tamura and J. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 1487.
- 47 R. G. Pearson and W. R. Muir, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 5519.
- ⁴⁸ T. L. Brown, L. M. Seitz, and B. Y. Kimura, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 3245. ⁴⁹ H. L. Lewis and T. L. Brown, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92,
- 4664.
- ⁵⁰ L. D. McKeever, R. Waack, M. A. Doran, and E. B. Baker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 1057.
 ⁵¹ L. M. Seitz and T. L. Brown, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88,
- 2174.

The decrease in concentration may be due to the formation of the cross-associated species Me₃Li₄Br ⁵²⁻⁵⁴ in diethyl ether at low temperatures.

A further cuprate was also studied. Posner et al.⁵⁵ synthesised several cuprates in which the group to be retained was alkoxy, aryloxy, alkylthio, arylthio, or dialkylamino; of these five (PhS)(Rt)CuLi was found to be the most effective for allowing selective transfer

somewhat electrophilic. The specificity of (23) for allylic halides may result from the copper atom seeking additional electron density which could be satisfied by co-ordination of the halide through its olefinic group. This co-ordination, as illustrated by (29) (Scheme 10), would increase electron donation from the copper to the vinylic ligand, which would then be less tightly bound than the acetylenic ligand. This would enhance the



of the group R^t. However, in our hands the reaction of (9: R = Et, $R' = SiMe_2$) with the cuprate (27) (Scheme 9), prepared in an analogous fashion to (23), resulted in transfer of the phenylthio-group to produce (28).

Finally we propose a mechanism for the coupling reaction between the cuprate (23) and the ester (9; R =Et, $R' = SiMe_3$). Marino *et al.*⁴⁰ established that (23), although inert to simple alkyl halides, was reactive to activated allylic halides. He attributed this to the combined inductive effects of the acetylenic and ethoxycarbonylvinyl ligands. We argue that these groups, the acetylenic ligand in particular might be expected to be tightly bound to the copper through $\pi^* - p$ or $\pi^* - d$ orbital overlap and as a consequence the copper metal would be

⁵² T. V. Talaleeva and K. A. Kocheshkov, Izvest. Akad. Nauk. *S.S.S.R*, Ser khim., 1953, 126. ⁵⁸ T. L. Brown, Pure Appl. Chem., 1970, 23, 447.

negative character of the *a*-carbon atom of the ethoxycarbonylvinyl moiety, thus enabling it to couple with (9) to give (29), then (30), and finally (21).

Work is in progress on the resolution of (8) and its elaboration into swazinecic acid dilactone (6).

EXPERIMENTAL

All glassware, used in organometallic reactions, was thoroughly cleaned and dried at 120 °C. Apparatus was assembled at this temperature and allowed to cool under a stream of nitrogen.

Nitrogen was pre-purified by passage through successive towers of molecular sieves (4 Å) and 'Carbosorb'.

Diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, 54 D. P. Novak and T. L. Brown, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 3793.

55 G. H. Posner, C. E. Whitten, and J. J. Sterling, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 7788.

and hexane were refluxed for several hours over calcium hydride then distilled under nitrogen, from lithium aluminium hydride, just prior to use.

All solvents and air-sensitive materials were transferred by hypodermic syringes or hypodermic tubing according to known methods.56

Solutions of butyl- and methyl-lithium were standardised at room temperature (20 °C) according to the procedure of Watson and Eastham, using 2,2-bipyridyl as indicator.57 In the case of methyl-lithium a further standardisation was performed at -30 °C.

Copper(I) iodide was continuously extracted with tetrahydrofuran in a Soxhlet apparatus (48 h) and dried, in vacuo, over sulphuric acid at 20 °C. (No advantage was forthcoming by using the techniques for purification of copper salts involving aqueous potassium halide solutions.58)

All reactions involving organocopper compounds were performed in a four-necked cylindrical flask equipped with serum stoppers, all-glass magnetic stirrer, nitrogen inlet and outlet, and an adaptor for the addition of solids (where necessary). Prior to the introduction of solvents the apparatus, charged with copper(I) iodide, was flamed while being evacuated and then allowed to cool under a stream of nitrogen.

Sodium hydride (80% dispersion in oil) was washed free of oil with small portions of dry hexane and the supernatant hexane layer was removed by pipette.

Di-isopropylamine was distilled from calcium hydride (b.p. 84 °C) and stored over molecular sieves (4 Å) under nitrogen.

Hex-1-yne was distilled (b.p. 70-71 °C) under nitrogen and stored over molecular sieves (4 Å) at 0 °C. In the preparation of hex-1-ynyl-lithium sufficient ether (5-10 ml mmol⁻¹) was used to maintain total solution.

(12).-Di-iso-Ethyl 2,3-Epoxy-2,3-dimethylbutanoate propylamine (4.24 ml, 30 mmol), followed by hexane (25.0 ml), was transferred into a flask fitted with a serum stopper and purged with nitrogen. The mixture was stirred and cooled to 0 °C, and butyl-lithium (10.7 ml; 2.8M-solution in hexane) was added via syringe. The mixture was cooled to -78 °C and tetrahydrofuran (20.0 ml) was added, followed by ethyl 2-bromopropionate (3.9 ml, 30 mmol). The red-orange enolate solution was stirred at this temperature for 0.5 h, acetone (2.2 ml, 30 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (10.0 ml) was added, and stirring was continued for a further 1 h. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted into ether; the extract was washed with sodium chloride solution, dried, evaporated, and distilled [b.p. 40° at 0.35 mmHg (lit.,⁵⁹ 83-87° at 25 Torr)]; yield 4.2 g (90%), δ (60 MHz; neat) 4.2 (2 H, q, $O \cdot CH_2 \cdot CH_3$), 1.43 [6 H, s, $(CH_3)_2C$], 1.28 [3 H, s, OC·C(CH₃)·O], and 1.2 (3 H, t, O·CH₂·CH₃) (Found: C, 60.7; H, 8.9. Calc. for C₈H₁₄O₃: C, 60.7; H, 8.9%).

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-2,3-dimethylbut-3-enoate (11; R = H). -Ethyl 2,3-epoxy-2,3-dimethylbutanoate (3.16 g, 20 mmol) in dry benzene (15.0 ml) was dropped on to a stirred suspension of lithium perchlorate (500 mg) in benzene (20.0 ml) in a water-bath at 25 °C. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at this temperature then refluxed for 18 h, cooled,

⁵⁶ D. F. Shriver, 'The Manipulation of Air-sensitive Compounds,' McGraw-Hill, London, 1969. ⁵⁷ S. C. Watson and J. F. Eastham, J. Organometallic Chem.,

1967, 9, 165.

poured on to water, and extracted into ether. The organic layer was washed successively with hydrochloric acid (5%)and water, dried, evaporated, and distilled to give the product, b.p. 52° at 0.3 mmHg (2.85 g, 90%), 8 (60 MHz; neat) 5.15 and 4.88 (2 H, 2s, C=CH₂), 4.2 (2 H, q, O·CH₂·CH₃), 3.84 (1 H, s, OH), 1.78 (3 H, s, CH₃C=), 1.5 (3 H, s, CH₃·C·O), and 1.2 (3 H, t, $O \cdot CH_2 \cdot CH_3$) (Found: C, 60.7; H, 9.0. $C_8H_{14}O_3$ requires C, 60.7; H, 8.9%).

2-Hydroxy-2,3-dimethylbut-3-enoic Acid (13).-The ester (11; R = H) (1.0 g) was stirred (18 h) with aqueous 2Nsodium hydroxide (100 ml) at 20 °C. The solution was extracted with ether (extract discarded), acidified, and extracted continuously with ether; this extract was dried and evaporated to afford a solid. Crystallisation from cyclohexane gave the product, m.p. 86-87° (lit., 687-88°), δ (60 MHz; CHCl₃) 6.5 (2 H, s, OH and COOH), 5.2 and 5.0 (2 H, 2s, C=CH_2), 1.8 (3 H, s, CH_3·C=), and 1.58 (3 H, s, CH₃C·O) (Found: C, 55.2; H, 7.8. Calc. for C₆H₁₀O₃: C, 55.4; H, 7.7%).

Ethyl 2-Ethoxycarbonyloxy-2,3-dimethylbut-3-enoate (11; $R = CO_2Et$).—The ester (11; R = H) (8.7 g, 55 mmol) dissolved in dry 1,2-dimethoxyethane (50.0 ml) was added dropwise to a suspension of sodium hydride (1.65 g, 55 mmol; 80% dispersion in oil) in dry 1,2-dimethoxyethane (50 ml) at 20 °C. The mixture was stirred until evolution of hydrogen had ceased (2 h). Ethyl chloroformate (6.48 g), 60 mmol) dissolved in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (50 ml) was added dropwise, and the mixture, after stirring at 20 °C (3 h), was poured on to water, acidified, and extracted into ether. The organic layer was washed with water, dried, evaporated, and distilled; b.p. 58-60° at 1.0 mmHg; yield 9.5 g (75%), δ (60 MHz; neat) 5.15 and 4.98 (2 H, 2s, $C=CH_2$), 4.15 (4 H, q, $O \cdot CH_2 \cdot CH_3$), 1.8 (3 H, s, $CH_3 \cdot C=$), 1.7 (3 H, s, CH₃C·O), 1.3 (3 H, t, O·CO·CH₂·CH₃), and 1.2 (3 H, t, O·CH₂·CH₃) (Found: C, 57.2; H, 7.8. C₁₁H₁₈O₅ requires C, 57.4; H, 7.8%).

Ethyl 2,3-Dimethyl-2-trimethylsilyloxybut-3-enoate (11; $R = SiMe_3$).—Obtained as described above using trimethylsilyl chloride as the electrophile, this had b.p. 65-68° at 1.0 mmHg (yield 85%) (Found: C, 58.0; H, 9.4. C₁₁H₂₂O₃Si requires C, 57.9; H, 9.6%).

Ethyl 3-Bromomethyl-2-hydroxy-2-methylbut-3-enoate (9; R = Et; R' = H).—The ester (11; R = H) (7.9 g, 50 mmol) was refluxed (2 h) in carbon tetrachloride (150 ml) with N-bromosuccinimide (9.75 g, 55 mmol) in bright sunlight. The mixture was cooled, the succinimide filtered off at 0 °C, and the residue chromatographed on silica gel with benzene. Evaporation of the eluate afforded an oil (11.26 g, 95%), & (60 MHz; CCl₄) 5.55 (2 H, s, C=CH₂), 4.25 (2 H, q, O·CH₂·CH₃), 4.20 (2 H, s, C=CH₂Br), 4.1 (1 H, s, OH), 1.6 (3 H, s, CH₃·C·O), and 1.3 (3 H, t, O·CH₂·CH₃).

Ethyl 3-Bromomethyl-2-ethoxycarbonyloxy-2-methylbut-3enoate (9; R = Et, $R' = CO_2Et$).—This was obtained as above from ethyl 2-ethoxycarbonyloxy-2,3-dimethylbut-3-enoate; yield 14.4 g (93%), δ (60 MHz; CCl₄) 5.58 (2 H, s, C=CH₂), 4.18 (4 H, q, O·CH₂·CH₃), 4.10 (2 H, s, CH₂Br), 1.8 (3 H, s, CH_3 ·C·O), 1.3 (3 H, t, O·CO·O· CH_2 · CH_3), and 1.25 (3 H, t, O·CH₂·CH₂).

Ethvl 3-Bromomethyl-2-methyl-2-trimethylsilyloxybut-3enoate (9; R = Et, $R' = SiMe_3$).—This was obtained as above from using ethyl 2,3-dimethyl-2-trimethylsilyloxybut-3-enoate; yield 14.4 g (93%).

58 G. B. Kauffman and L. A. Teter, Inorg. Synth., 1963, 7, 9. 59 A. Oku, M. Okano, T. Shono, and R. Oda, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 1965, 68, 821.

Triethyl 4-Ethoxycarbonyloxy-3-methylenepentane-1,1,4tricarboxylate (18; $R = CO_2Et$). Diethyl malonate (1.6 g, 10.0 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (15.0 ml) was dropped slowly on a stirred suspension of sodium hydride (300 mg, 10.0 mmol; 80% dispersion) in tetrahydrofuran (50.0 ml), and the mixture was stirred until homogeneous (0.5 h). Ethyl 3-bromomethyl-2-ethoxycarbonyloxy-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3.1 g, 10.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (15.0 ml) was added dropwise and the mixture was refluxed (4 h), cooled, quenched with water, and extracted into ether. The organic layer was dried and evaporated to afford an oil (2.8 g, 75%), pure by t.l.c. (8.5:1.5 benzene-acetic acid), δ (60 MHz; CCl₄) 5.28 and 5.0 (2 H, 2s, C=CH₂), 4.2 (8 H, q, O·CH₂·CH₃), 3.6 (1 H, t, CH·CH₂), 2.7 (2 H, d, CH·CH₂), 1.75 (3 H, s, CH₃·C), and 1.3 (12 H, m, O·CH₂·CH₃).

2-Hydroxy-2-methyl-3,5-dimethylenehexanedioic Acid (8) via the Tricarboxylate (18).—The foregoing material was hydrolysed with 6N-sodium hydroxide at 20 °C (24 h) and the basic solution extracted with ether (extract discarded), acidified, and re-extracted to afford, after drying and removal of solvents, a viscous oil. T.1.c. (silica gel; 9:1 ethyl acetate-acetic acid) afforded the triacid (19) (95%), which resisted crystallisation.

The triacid (1.62 g, 70 mmol) was dissolved in a Mannich reagent stock solution (7.0 ml) prepared from glacial acetic acid (40 ml), aqueous formaldehyde (30 ml), diethylamine (10.0 ml), and sodium acetate (1.1 g). The mixture was stirred at 20 °C (1 h) then heated on a steam-bath (2 h), cooled, basified, and extracted with ether (extract discarded). The aqueous layer was acidified and continuously extracted into ether; the organic layer was dried and evaporated to afford a crystalline solid. Recrystallisation [ethyl acetate-light petroleum (b.p. 60-80 °C)] afforded the pure *acid* (8), m.p. 120-121° (900 mg, 70%), δ (60 MHz; CDCl₃) 6.4 and 5.75 (2 H, 2s, CH₂=C-C=O), 5.4 and 5.0 (2 H, 2s, C=CH₂), 3.15 (2 H, s, CH₂·C=), and 1.6 (3 H, s, CH₃·C·O) (Found: C, 54.3; H, 6.4. C₉H₁₂O₅ requires C, 54.0; H, 6.0%).

Ethyl 2-Bromoacrylate (20; R = Br).—Bromine (40.0 g, 250 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of ethyl acrylate (25.0 g, 250 mmol) in carbon tetrachloride (100 ml). The mixture was then stirred for 1 h and evaporated to afford ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate as a crude oil. This (62.4 g, 240 mmol) was treated with quinoline (39.0 g, 300 mmol) and hydroquinone (5.0 g) at

⁶⁰ C. S. Marvel, J. Dec, H. G. Cooke, jun., and J. C. Cowan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1940, **62** 3495.

100 °C (0.25 h), then the product was distilled into a receiver containing hydroquinone; b.p. 60° at 20 mmHg (lit., 60 55—58° at 12 mmHg); yield 32.0 g (75%).

The Hexanedioic Acid (8) via the Cuprate (23).—Hex-1yne (1.14 ml, 10.0 mmol), followed by ether (60 ml), was transferred, under nitrogen, to a flask equipped with stirrer, serum stopper, and a static source of pre-purified nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to 5 °C and methyllithium (4.8 ml; 2.09M in ether) was added. The solution was stirred until evolution of gas ceased (0.25 h) and then quantitatively transferred, under nitrogen, to a slurry of copper(1) iodide (1.9 g, 10.0 mmol) in ether (60.0 ml), held at 0—5 °C in a second four-necked flame-dried flask also equipped with stirrer, serum stopper, and nitrogen inlet and outlet.

The yellow suspension of hex-1-ynylcopper was stirred at +10 °C (1 h) then cooled to -30 °C, and a second equivalent of methyl-lithium (7.2 ml; 1.39M) was added to produce a homogeneous solution. This solution of lithium hexyn-1-yl(methyl)cuprate, after stirring at -30 °C (0.5 h) was cooled to -78 °C, and ethyl 2-bromoacrylate (0.61 ml, 5.0 mmol), freshly distilled from the stock solution and dissolved in ether (10.0 ml), was added. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C (1 h), and ethyl 3-bromomethyl-2-methyl-2-trimethylsilyloxybut-3-enoate (0.78 ml, 4.0 mmol) dissolved in ether (15.0 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C (18 h), quenched with saturated ammonium chloride, filtered through Celite, and extracted with ether. The organic layer was dried and evaporated to afford an oil, pure by t.l.c. (9:1 benzene-acetic acid) (1.1 g, 85%), $\delta(60 \text{ MHz}; \text{ CHCl}_3) 6.15 \text{ and } 5.5 (2 \text{ H}, 2s, 2s)$ CH₂=C-C=O), 5.15 and 4.9 (2 H, 2s, C=CH₂), 4.15 (4 H, q, $O \cdot CH_2 \cdot CH_3$, 3.1 (2 H, s, $CH_2 \cdot C=$), 1.5 (3 H, s, $CH_3 \cdot C \cdot O$), 1.3 (3 H, t, O·CH₂·CH₃), and 0.23 [9 H, s, OSi(CH₃)₃].

This material was hydrolysed with 6N-sodium hydroxide at 20 °C (24 h); the basic solution was extracted with ether (extract discarded), acidified, and re-extracted to afford, after drying and removal of solvents, a crystalline solid. Recrystallisation [ethyl acetate-light petroleum (b.p. 60-80 °C)] afforded the acid (8), m.p. 120-121°, identical with that described above.

We thank the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research for grants, and Professor J. P. Marino, Michigan State University, for assistance concerning experimental detail.

[7/328 Received, 23rd February, 1977]

2046